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Abstract: The present research work was carried out in the Department of Nyan, Province of Logone Oriental. It allowed the quantification of heavy metal contents in well, borehole and river water intended for human consumption. Samples from these water sources were collected and submitted for laboratory analysis. Structural quality indicators such as pH, temperature, electrical conductivity, turbidity, dissolved oxygen and sulphate ions were measured first. The sample was acidified and the measurement is then performed on the metals, i.e. barium, calcium, iron, magnesium, manganese, copper, chromium, aluminium, lead, strontium, tin, zirconium and titanium. The results of the quality indicators showed that well and borehole waters have an acidic pH; their average measured values are 5.34±0.24 and 5.48±0.15 respectively. Well water and that of rivers have high turbidity values averaging 43.40±1.21 NTU and 47.56±1.5 NTU respectively. With respect to metals, some have values above the WHO drinking water standards. These include iron, which has high values in well water (7.890±0.016 mg/L) and river water (0.866±0.003 mg/L), manganese in well water (0.093±0.001 mg/L), aluminum in well water (5.614±0.009 mg/L) and river water (1.211±0.008 mg/L). Based on these results, consumption of these water sources would expose communities to mild or chronic health risks.
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1. Introduction

The term “heavy metals” refers to natural metallic elements, metals or in some cases metalloids, characterized by a high density greater than 5 g/cm³ [1]. They are natural constituents of all ecosystems and are found in the atmosphere, hydrosphere, lithosphere and biosphere [2]. Heavy metals have very different effects on the living environment. Some are necessary for organisms; they are called “essential”, although at high concentrations they can be harmful to living organisms [3]. Heavy metals are ubiquitous in surface waters, however, their concentrations are generally very low, which explains their denomination as “trace metals” or “trace metal elements” (TMEs) [4]. Because they are not degradable in soil, heavy metals can persist in soil for long periods of time and constitute a potential hazard through bioaccumulation along the trophic chain [5, 6]. Permanent exposure to small doses of heavy metals can trigger many reactions in humans [7]. Among the first are cardiovascular diseases [7]. Heavy metals can contribute to immunological pathologies such as multiple sclerosis or other defects of the immune system. They also tend to disrupt the reproductive and endocrine systems and have cytotoxic effects [8]. Neurotoxic effects occur directly when heavy metals cross the brain barrier, causing central nervous system damage such as Parkinson's and Alzheimer's disease and, in the fetus, disruption of brain development [8]. The objective of this work is to evaluate some heavy metals and quality indicators in water resources for drinking in the Department of Nyan, Doba oil zone in southern Chad.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Presentation of the Study Site

The Province of Logone Oriental is one of the twenty-three (22) Provinces of the Republic of Chad. Geographically, the Eastern Logone Province is located in southern Chad between the 8th and 9th parallels of northern latitude and the 16th and 17th parallels of eastern longitude. It has 1,027 villages, 42 cantons and 23 sub-prefectures in 6 departments [9].

The choice of the study area was guided by its proximity to oil wells and the problem of drinking water supply [10]. Indeed, in 2009, about 24 villages located in the oil fields were declared "impacted by the oil project (stress and tree decline, fish mortality...)" according to the classification of the socio-economic team of ESSO (the oil consortium that operates the Doba Basin) in its 2009 quarterly reports. Most of these villages are located in the Department of Nyan, whose chief town is Bébédjia, the second largest city in the Petroleum Province. This Department is home to most of the oil installations: the extraction wells of Komé, Miandoum, Bolobo, Nyan, Maikiri, Moundouli and Timbré and the water injection wells that ESSO had to drill next to the extraction wells to reinject water to maintain the level of production [11].

The Department of Nyan is therefore the area where the environment is most adversely affected by the project. In addition to the wells drilled, the crude oil collection centers and other oil installations, the zone is crossed by countless tracks, some leading to the collection centers, others following the lines of the pipelines [11]. Alongside this gigantic system, one can see quarries that were opened for construction purposes and that have been rehabilitated but abandoned for the most part because they are unsuitable for agriculture [11].

2.2. Methodology

2.2.1. Sample Collection

Taking a water sample is a delicate operation to which the greatest care must be taken. It determines the analytical results and the interpretation that will be given [12]. The physico-chemical parameters were determined from samples taken from the tributaries of the Logone River, which are the Nyan and Loulé, from traditional wells and human-powered boreholes. The geographical coordinates of the following water points considered as sampling stations were recorded thanks to a GARMIN etrex 10 GPS:

- Station 1: 08°28.324'; 16°35.203': traditional well of the village Poutgueum;
- Station 2: 08°43.132'; 16°43.728': traditional well of the village Deubeu;
- Station 3: 08°28.299'; 16°35.438': Nyan river near the village Poutgueum;
- Station 4: 08°30.363'; 16°36.709': Nyan river at the Mboh Nyan bridge;
- Station 5: 08°30.977'; 16°37.752': river next to the Madana Garden;
- Station 6: 08°31.527'; 16°46.763': "Loulé" river;
- Station 7: 08°41.312'; 16°44.705': river Nyan downstream of the Mboh Nyan bridge;
- Station 8: 08°27.479'; 16°34.652': Nyan river upstream of the Mboh Nyan bridge;
- Station 9: 08°30.414'; 16°37.883': drilling at Madana Nadpeur village;
- Station 10: 08°32.607'; 16°50.295': drilling at Dokaidilti village (Swissaid drilling).

With regard to surface water, the sampling points were chosen according to the accessibility and frequency of anthropogenic activity. Thus, samples were taken using a probe in areas where the water flow is not disturbed (natural obstacles, tree trunks, etc.) [13]. The samples were taken at a depth of 0.5 m below the water surface in plastic bottles that were cleaned and rinsed with distilled water.

For the wells, the choice of samples was a reasoned choice: wells without coping stones, untreated and not monitored by hygiene and sanitation services but normally consumed by the population. The well water was drawn from a bucket and a probe was used to take samples for analysis.

In order to ensure the representativeness of the samples, the borehole water was collected after purging the casing [14, 15] at least 5 minutes before sampling.

All sampling was carried out under good atmospheric conditions. Samples are stored and transported in coolers and deposited at the laboratory the following day to ensure their stability. Keeping the samples at a low temperature, 2 to 4°C, is an effective condition to avoid changes in the composition of the samples that may be caused by the action of the microorganisms present and chemical reactions.

2.2.2. Sample Analysis

Given the organic load of the waters and their rapid biodegradability, the physicochemical parameters likely to be altered were measured in situ. These parameters, indicators of quality, namely pH, temperature, electrical conductivity, turbidity and dissolved oxygen were measured respectively by an ISO-SCAN pH meter, a thermometer incorporated in the pH meter, a WTW-315i/SET conductivity meter, a HACH LANGE 2100 ISO turbidimeter and a HACH LANGE HQ 30d probe oximeter. Sulphate ions were analyzed in the laboratory of the Faculty of Exact and Applied Sciences of the University of Ndjamena using the spectrophotometer HACH DR 2400.

Measurements of metals, in particular, barium (Ba), calcium (Ca), iron (Fe), magnesium (Mg), manganese (Mn), strontium (Sr), aluminium (Al), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), lead (Pb), Titanium (Ti), Zirconium (Zr) and Tin (Sn) were realized by ICP-OES vista (Agilent) simultaneous system at the laboratory of Applied Sciences of the Claude Bernard University Lyon1.

In a practical way, the solutions were decanted and then acidified before being submitted to analysis. In parallel, the non-settled solutions were strongly acidified and homogenized in order to have a total concentration. Some solutions
contained a high proportion of a brown residue, others not at all. Thus, the settled or filtered water contains less toxic metals. The total water (including the residue dissolved by acidification) sometimes contains high levels of metals.

2.2.3. Data Processing
Statgraphics plus 5.0 software was used for the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and DUNCAN's multiple comparison test to differentiate the means. Statistical significance was defined for p < 0.05.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Physicochemical Parameters

3.1.1. Temperature
Recorded temperatures vary on average from 27.63±0.15°C for well water, 30.00±1.01°C for borehole water to 27.66±0.25°C for river water (Table 1). Well and river water temperatures are approximately equal but differ significantly from borehole water temperatures at the 0.05% threshold. This difference would be due to different sampling times. High temperatures facilitate the oxidation reactions of nitrogen derivatives (NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻) and, consequently, lead to a decrease in the dissolved oxygen rate [16]. These results are similar to those reported by Maoudombaye et al. [17], which were 28.43±0.11°C for well water, 30.65±0.14°C for borehole water and 30.75±0.12°C for river water, respectively.

3.1.2. pH
The results obtained show that the waters in the Department of Nyan are acidic (Table 1). There is no significant difference between the pH value of well water and borehole water at the 0.05% threshold. These pH values could be explained by geochemical conditions [18]. Other factors affecting pH are temperature and organic matter. Increasing temperature decreases the solubility of CO₂ and lowers the pH value. Aerobic decomposition of organic matter releases CO₂ and thus causes a decrease in pH. Acidic water can mobilize certain metals from the soil and piping systems, increasing their bioavailability and changing their toxicity [19, 20]. A low pH range is detrimental to the environment. It can have an adverse effect on fauna and flora whose growth pH is between 6.5 and 8.5. It could be one of the causes of tree dieback in this oil zone. The pH values measured are below the limit value (8.5) set by the WHO. The results are similar to those obtained by Maoudombaye et al. [17] which were 5.47±0.18 for well water, 5.76±0.24 for borehole water and 6.8±0.32 for river water. However, these values are lower than those reported by Ngaram [21], in the waters of the Chari River which ranged between 7.03 and 8.14.

3.1.3. Electrical Conductivity
The mean values of the measured conductivities are respectively 27.46±2.27 µS/cm for well water, 94.86±2.25 µS/cm for borehole water and 43.3±0.55 µS/cm for river water (Table 1). These levels are all significantly different from each other. These differences would be due to the geochemical conditions of the watershed. Like pH, electrical conductivity varies according to calcocarbonic equilibrium, so that it also depends on temperature and biological processes. The difference would result from low ionic solubility in rivers. These values are very low compared to the limit value (400 µS/cm) recommended by the WHO for drinking water. According to the classification of Mohamed and Boubekeur [22], the waters in the study area require very low mineralization. Conductivity values for well and river water are similar to those reported by Ngaram [21], in the waters of the Chari River in Chad, which ranged from 13.90 to 52.65 µS/cm.

3.1.4. Turbidity
The results of the analyses gave the following mean turbidity values: 43.40±1.21 NTU for well water, 2.36±0.15 NTU for borehole water and 47.56±1.5 NTU for river water (Table 1). There are significant differences between the values of the different water sources. Water from wells and rivers is very turbid. Their values are well above the WHO guideline value for drinking water quality of 5 NTU. Turbidity is a seasonal phenomenon, which corresponds to the sampling period at the beginning of the rainy season with its corollary the phenomenon of rainwater runoff, putting in suspension sediments which were previously deposited. Turbidity can be detrimental to health as it reduces the effectiveness of disinfection [23]. The average turbidity value for borehole water alone is the WHO guideline value. Turbidity values for well and river water are within the range of values reported by Ngaram [21], which was, based on sampling points, from 17.10 NTU to 117.01 NTU.

3.1.5. Dissolved Oxygen
The average dissolved oxygen values obtained are as follows: 2.40±0.10 mg/L for well water and 4.911±0.14 mg/L in river water (Table 1). There is a significant difference between these values at the threshold of 0.05%. The maximum acceptable dissolved oxygen concentration recommended for the protection of aquatic life is between 6.5 and 9.5 mg/L [24]. Aerated oxygen-saturated water at 25°C should have an oxygen concentration in equilibrium with atmospheric pressure greater than 7 mg/L. The average content in unpolluted surface water is 8 mg/L and barely exceeds 10 mg/L [25]. Dissolved oxygen is an important parameter to take into consideration, as it provides information on the state of the well and, on the other hand, it

### Table 1. Average values of water quality indicator parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Well water</th>
<th>Borehole water</th>
<th>River water</th>
<th>WHO Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T (°C)</td>
<td>27.63±0.15⁰</td>
<td>30.00±1.01⁰</td>
<td>27.66±0.25⁰</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>5.34±0.24⁰</td>
<td>5.48±0.15⁰</td>
<td>6.21±0.27⁰</td>
<td>6.5 - 8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cond. (µS/cm)</td>
<td>27.46±2.27⁰</td>
<td>94.86±2.25⁰</td>
<td>43.3±0.55⁰</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turb. (NTU)</td>
<td>43.40±1.21⁰</td>
<td>2.40±0.10⁰</td>
<td>4.911±0.14⁰</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂ (mg/l)</td>
<td>2.40±0.10⁰</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.911±0.14⁰</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻ (mg/l)</td>
<td>2.1±0.10⁰</td>
<td>1.28±0.03⁰</td>
<td>1.33±0.07⁰</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: Not determined

The results obtained show that the waters in the Department of Nyan are acidic (Table 1). There is no significant difference between the pH value of well water and borehole water at the 0.05% threshold. These pH values could be explained by geochemical conditions [18]. Other factors affecting pH are temperature and organic matter. Increasing temperature decreases the solubility of CO₂ and lowers the pH value. Aerobic decomposition of organic matter releases CO₂ and thus causes a decrease in pH. Acidic water can mobilize certain metals from the soil and piping systems, increasing their bioavailability and changing their toxicity [19, 20]. A low pH range is detrimental to the environment. It can have an adverse effect on fauna and flora whose growth pH is between 6.5 and 8.5. It could be one of the causes of tree dieback in this oil zone. The pH values measured are below the limit value (8.5) set by the WHO. The results are similar to those obtained by Maoudombaye et al. [17] which were 5.47±0.18 for well water, 5.76±0.24 for borehole water and 6.8±0.32 for river water. However, these values are lower than those reported by Ngaram [21], in the waters of the Chari River which ranged between 7.03 and 8.14.

3.1.3. Electrical Conductivity
The mean values of the measured conductivities are respectively 27.46±2.27 µS/cm for well water, 94.86±2.25 µS/cm for borehole water and 43.3±0.55 µS/cm for river water (Table 1). These levels are all significantly different from each other. These differences would be due to the geochemical conditions of the watershed. Like pH, electrical conductivity varies according to calcocarbonic equilibrium, so that it also depends on temperature and biological processes. The difference would result from low ionic solubility in rivers. These values are very low compared to the limit value (400 µS/cm) recommended by the WHO for drinking water. According to the classification of Mohammed and Boubekeur [22], the waters in the study area require very low mineralization. Conductivity values for well and river water are similar to those reported by Ngaram [21], in the waters of the Chari River in Chad, which ranged from 13.90 to 52.65 µS/cm.

3.1.4. Turbidity
The results of the analyses gave the following mean turbidity values: 43.40±1.21 NTU for well water, 2.36±0.15 NTU for borehole water and 47.56±1.5 NTU for river water (Table 1). There are significant differences between the values of the different water sources. Water from wells and rivers is very turbid. Their values are well above the WHO guideline value for drinking water quality of 5 NTU. Turbidity is a seasonal phenomenon, which corresponds to the sampling period at the beginning of the rainy season with its corollary the phenomenon of rainwater runoff, putting in suspension sediments which were previously deposited. Turbidity can be detrimental to health as it reduces the effectiveness of disinfection [23]. The average turbidity value for borehole water alone is the WHO guideline value. Turbidity values for well and river water are within the range of values reported by Ngaram [21], which was, based on sampling points, from 17.10 NTU to 117.01 NTU.

3.1.5. Dissolved Oxygen
The average dissolved oxygen values obtained are as follows: 2.40±0.10 mg/L for well water and 4.911±0.14 mg/L in river water (Table 1). There is a significant difference between these values at the threshold of 0.05%. The maximum acceptable dissolved oxygen concentration recommended for the protection of aquatic life is between 6.5 and 9.5 mg/L [24]. Aerated oxygen-saturated water at 25°C should have an oxygen concentration in equilibrium with atmospheric pressure greater than 7 mg/L. The average content in unpolluted surface water is 8 mg/L and barely exceeds 10 mg/L [25]. Dissolved oxygen is an important parameter to take into consideration, as it provides information on the state of the well and, on the other hand, it
promotes the growth of microorganisms that degrade organic matter. In general, low values of dissolved oxygen favour the development of pathogenic germs [26]. When the dissolved oxygen content is less than 3 mg/L, the water is considered of poor quality (significant pollution). Dissolved oxygen plays a primary role in the maintenance of aquatic life and in self-purification. Its presence in natural waters is mainly determined by the respiration of organisms, by the photosynthetic activity of the flora, by the oxidation and degradation of pollutants and finally by air-water exchanges [27]. If oxygen levels were to remain close to 2.5 to 3 mg/L, fish would usually die [28].

3.1.6. Sulphates

The average values of sulphate ions measured are as follows: 2.1±0.10 mg/L in well water, 1.28±0.03 mg/L in borehole water and 1.33±0.07 mg/L in river water (Table 1). These mean values are all significantly different from each other at the 0.05% threshold. Sulphates come from runoff or infiltration in gypsum soils. They also result from the activity of certain bacteria (chlorothiobacteria, rhodothiobacteria, etc.). This activity can oxidize toxic hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) into sulfate [29]. Surface waters contain highly variable levels of sulphate. Their concentration is generally between 2.2 and 58 mg/L [30].

3.2. Heavy Metals

Table 2. Average values of heavy metals by water source.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Well water</th>
<th>Borehole water</th>
<th>River water</th>
<th>WHO standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca (mg/L)</td>
<td>1.848±0.012²</td>
<td>1.330±0.007⁷</td>
<td>0.890±0.004⁹</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.264±0.002²</td>
<td>0.286±0.001¹</td>
<td>0.326±0.002⁹</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.000±0.000²</td>
<td>0.001±0.000²</td>
<td>0.000±0.000²</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.001±0.000²</td>
<td>0.053±0.001⁸</td>
<td>0.002±0.000²</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.005±0.000²</td>
<td>0.053±0.001⁹</td>
<td>0.022±0.000²</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.017±0.000²</td>
<td>0.093±0.001³</td>
<td>0.002±0.000²</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al (mg/L)</td>
<td>5.614±0.000²</td>
<td>0.55±0.001⁷</td>
<td>1.211±0.008⁹</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.072±0.001¹</td>
<td>7.990±0.016²</td>
<td>0.866±0.005⁹</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.000±0.000²</td>
<td>0.033±0.001⁸</td>
<td>0.001±0.000²</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti (mg/L)</td>
<td>1.240±0.008³</td>
<td>0.075±0.000⁹</td>
<td>0.611±0.000⁹</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.029±0.000²</td>
<td>0.015±0.000⁹</td>
<td>0.110±0.002³</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.005±0.000²</td>
<td>0.006±0.000⁹</td>
<td>0.007±0.000²</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn (mg/L)</td>
<td>&lt; LDD</td>
<td>0.306±0.001¹</td>
<td>0.017±0.000²</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LDD: Limit of Quantitation

3.2.1. Calcium

The analysis in Table 2 shows that calcium levels in the different water sources range from 1.848±0.012 mg/L (1.712 to 1.966) for well water, 1.330±0.007 mg/L (1.01 to 1.61 mg/L) for borehole water to 0.890±0.004 mg/L (0.854 to 0.943 mg/L) for river water. This level is significantly lower (p < 0.05) in river water than in borehole and well water. This difference would be related to the nature of the land crossed. Calcium is generally the dominant element in drinking water and its content varies essentially according to the nature of the land crossed (limestone or gypsum) [31]. Adverse effects that are mainly organoleptic or aesthetic in nature resulting from the presence of calcium in drinking water may stem from its contribution to hardness [32]. The WHO recommends a guideline value of 100 mg/L for the calcium content in water intended for human consumption. However, the values obtained are very low at the WHO level [33]. These values confirm the low electrical conductivity values obtained from the same sources (Table 1). These waters have mineralization ranging from very low to low. The results obtained in the analyses are very low compared to those of Ble et al. [34] who reported averages of 24 to 49 mg/L in "la Rosée" water, 55 to 56.7 mg/L in "Amina" water and 1.2 to 2 mg/L in "la source" water in Senegal.

3.2.2. Magnesium

Magnesium values measured in the different sources vary from 0.253 to 0.29 mg/L with an average of 0.264±0.002 mg/L for well water, from 0.235 to 0.265 mg/L with an average of 0.286±0.001 mg/L for borehole water, and from 0.245 to 0.491 mg/L with an average of 0.326±0.002 mg/L for river water (Table 2). There is no significant difference at the 0.05% threshold between the magnesium value of well water and borehole water. On the other hand, these two values differ significantly from those of river water. This slightly higher value in river water is related to the dissolution of magnesium in the air. Magnesium is an indispensable element in the metabolism of the human body, and it is crucial for more than 300 enzymatic reactions [35], including all those using adenosine triphosphate [36]. The WHO recommends a value of 50 mg/L of magnesium for drinking water. The values obtained from the various sources are very negligible compared to the WHO guideline value. Timoléon et al [37] obtained values of 2.3 mg/L, 71.25 mg/L and 39.16 mg/L respectively from water wells P1, P2 and P3 in Brazzaville City, Congo.

3.2.3. Chromium

The average chromium values obtained are 0.00±0.000 mg/L in well water, 0.001±0.000 mg/L (0.00 to 0.002 mg/L) in borehole water, and 0.000±0.000 mg/L in river water (Table 2). Chromium levels in well and river water are zero, and differ significantly from those in borehole water. At low doses, chromium (III) is an essential nutrient for humans since it plays an indispensable role in carbohydrate metabolism as an insulin activator [38, 39]. Its deficiency can cause heart problems, metabolic disturbances and diabetes (interruption of sugar metabolism). But excessive chromium (III) absorption can also cause health problems, such as skin rashes [40]. The WHO, as well as the directives of the Council of the European Communities and the French regulation on the quality of water intended for human consumption have adopted the figure of 0.05 mg/L as the limit value for chromium [41]. The values obtained are all within the WHO concentration range for drinking water. The values obtained are low compared to those reported by Ngaram [21] in Chari waters which ranged from 0.02 to 0.11 mg/L. According to Rodier et al. [32], fresh surface water contains only small amounts of chromium of 5 to 10 μg/L.

3.2.4. Copper

Copper levels in Table 2 range from 0.001 to 0.0012 mg/L.
with an average of 0.001±0.000 mg/L for well water, 0.04 to 0.07 mg/L with an average of 0.053±0.001 mg/L for borehole water, to 0.001 to 0.003 mg/L with an average of 0.002±0.000 mg/L for river water. Statistical analyses show that the copper levels in the different water sources differ significantly from one another. These differences would be due to the geological context. Copper is an essential element in humans and animals (trace element), involved in many metabolic pathways, including hemoglobin formation and neutrophil maturation. In addition, it is a specific co-factor of many enzymes and structural metallo-proteins [38]. However, excess copper produces free radicals responsible for cellular damage to DNA and organelles such as mitochondria or lysosomes [42]. For water intended for human consumption, the WHO recommends a provisional guide value of 2 mg/L, given the uncertainties of copper toxicity to humans. All values obtained are below the WHO guideline value. The values obtained in the analyses are lower than those reported by N'Garam [21] which were 0.07 to 0.17 mg/L. N'Diaye et al [43] reported a mean value of 300±290 µm/L (0 to 740 µm/L) in water from the right bank of the Senegal River.

3.2.5. Barium

Average Barium levels in different water sources range from 0.005±0.000 mg/L (0.004 to 0.008 mg/L) in well water, 0.053±0.001 mg/L (0.04 to 0.07 mg/L) in borehole water to 0.022±0.000 mg/L (0.020 to 0.023 mg/L) in river water (Table 2). This barium content is significantly higher (p < 0.05) in borehole and river water than in well water. This significant difference in barium levels between water sources is related to the geological context [44]. Barium is not metabolized in the body but can be transported or incorporated metabolically into certain tissues (especially bone) [45]. Excretion of barium is primarily via the fecal route and to a lesser extent via the urinary route [44].

Drinking water quality guidelines set the maximum acceptable concentration of barium in drinking water at 1 mg/L [46]. All values obtained are below this maximum acceptable concentration. Analyses of barium concentration in feed water are infrequent, so that to date, analysis of this parameter has not been done systematically. The regulatory requirement is 1 sample every 2 years to 1 every 5 years for small communities. Thus, it is difficult to have scientific data on barium in groundwater over time [44].

3.2.6. Manganese

Manganese results in Table 2 range from 0.011 to 0.029 mg/L with an average of 0.017±0.000 mg/L in well water, 0.081 to 0.11 mg/L with an average of 0.093±0.001 mg/L in borehole water, and 0.001 to 0.003 mg/L with an average of 0.002±0.000 mg/L in river water. This level is significantly higher (p < 0.05) in borehole water than in river and well water. Manganese is an essential element for animals and humans. Concentrations that may threaten health are much higher than those that affect the organoleptic qualities of water. The WHO recommends a value of 0.05 mg/L manganese for drinking water. Manganese values for well and river water meet the WHO standard for this parameter. On the other hand, the manganese content of borehole water (0.093±0.01 mg/L) is higher than this standard. The results obtained in the analyses are all lower than those reported by N'Garam [21] in the Chari waters, which were 0.26 to 0.5 mg/L. On the other hand, N'Diaye et al [43] reported a very low mean value of 2.88±2.42 µm/L in water from the right bank of the Senegal River.

3.2.7. Aluminium

The average aluminium values in the different water sources range from 5.412±0.008 mg/L (5.571 to 5.412 mg/L) in well water, 0.05±0.001 mg/L (0.4 to 0.06 mg/L) in borehole water, to 1.211±0.008 mg/L (1.119 to 1.295 mg/L) in river water (Table 2). All these values differ significantly from each other at the 0.05% threshold. The very high aluminium content in river water and well water would be related respectively to the dissolution of aluminium present in the air under dust particles or acid rain, and to the mobilization of aluminium from acid soil. Aluminium is also present in the form of dust particles in the air, with aluminium silicates contributing significantly to the levels of these dusts from the soil [47]. Most water authorities around the world also use aluminium sulfate (alum) as a coagulant in water treatment plants. Based on current knowledge on the toxicity of aluminium, the WHO has adopted a guideline value for aluminium in drinking water of 0.2 mg/L, a value not based on health considerations, but on considerations of coloration of the treated water. This guideline value is established primarily for taste and appearance reasons [48]. Only the aluminium content in borehole water meets the WHO standard. The aluminium content in well and river water is well above the WHO guideline value.

3.2.8. Iron

Mean iron values in Table 2 range from 0.072±0.001 mg/L (0.054 to 0.091 mg/L) in well water, 7.890±0.016 mg/L (7.711 to 8.045 mg/L) in borehole water to 0.866±0.003 mg/L (0.845 to 0.904 mg/L) in river water. All these values are all significantly different from each other. The differences would be explained by the atmospheric deposition at the level of rivers, by the oxidation of iron materials used in the pumping system at the level of borehole water. Iron is a micronutrient essential for life. It is used in the constitution of haemoglobin. It is involved in many enzymatic functions. Iron deficiency or excess are both harmful [49]. The maximum acceptable concentration in drinking water has been set at 0.3 mg/L to preserve its aesthetic qualities [50]. Only well water meets the WHO standard for drinking water. Iron values in river and borehole water are slightly and significantly higher than the WHO standard, respectively. The results obtained in river waters are similar to those reported by Ngaram [21] in Chari waters ranging from 0.90 to 1.90 mg/L. In contrast, N'Diaye et al [43] reported mean values of 246.25±56.05 µm/L in the waters of the right bank of the Senegal River.

3.2.9. Lead

Lead concentrations in well water are 0.00±0.000 mg/L,
compared to 0.033±0.001 mg/L (0.02 to 0.04 mg/L) in borehole water and 0.001±0.000 mg/L (0.001 to 0.002 mg/L) in river water (Table 2). Lead values obtained in different water sources differ significantly from each other at the 0.05% threshold. The high value of lead in borehole water would be due to the metallurgical wastes left in the ground during the oil installations. Lead is not a trace element and has a well-known toxic character. Both organic and inorganic forms of Pb have toxic effects in humans. However, the toxicity of organic species is much greater than that of inorganic species, as its passage through the food chain is privileged in humans [51]. The WHO indicates for water intended for human consumption a maximum allowable concentration of 0.05 mg/L of lead. Lead values in the different water sources meet this WHO guideline value. These values are close to those reported by Ngaram [21] were 0.01 to 0.04 mg/L. They are also close to those reported by N'Diaye et al [43] which were 2.76±4.23 µm/L (0 to 9.20 µm/L) in water from the right bank of the Senegal River.

In addition, metals such as zirconium, strontium, titanium and tin do not have numerical guidelines required for drinking water quality (Table 2). Zirconium and its salts are generally considered to have low systemic toxicity. Zirconium is not classified as a carcinogen or potential carcinogen, but appears to have the potential to cause allergies [52]. Strontium is not an undesirable or toxic element according to current regulations. However, some groundwater is highly concentrated in this element, up to 52 mg/L, which is one of the highest concentrations reported for drinking water [53]. The distribution of strontium in minerals, rocks, sediments, and water is often related to that of calcium [54]. For titanium, titanium dioxide (TiO₂) is used as a biocompatible white pigment [55]. TiO₂ is a metal oxide that is widely used as a white food colorant (additive E171) in confectionery, sauces, baking, but its bactericial properties also promise widespread use in the packaging sector for the design of bio-based thin films with antimicrobial properties [56]. Tin has low toxicity in humans. It is rarely found naturally in soil and water. Most of the time, tin present in groundwater comes from industrial effluents. Chronic inhalation of oxides (dusts, vapours) can cause stannosis (benign pneumoconiosis) without changes in respiratory function [57].

4. Conclusion

The study of heavy metals in the various water resources intended for human consumption has shown that these water sources can be considered as potential sources of health risks in view of the results of the parameters analyzed. The waters in the Department of Nyan have an acid pH. The pH of a soil is of great importance for fertility, particularly for its ability to make nutrients available to plants. A high introduction of acids into the soil leads to a decrease in plant growth. In acidic soil, the nutrient ions (Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺) therefore only remain bound to clays or other compounds in very small quantities. They are removed from the clays and transported to the deep layers of the soil by precipitation water. The same applies to silicates and carbonates, which are thus removed from the roots of plants. Metals such as manganese, iron and aluminum exceed international standards for drinking water quality in some sources, which would not be without consequences for human health. These metals could lead to, among other things, cardiovascular disease, immunological pathologies, disruption of the reproductive and endocrine systems, and have cytotoxic effects.
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